

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigniia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/960,771	09.	/21/2001	David N. Pether	00-335 1496.00154	5402
24319	7590	09/23/2003			•
LSI LOGIC CORPORATION				EXAMINER	
1621 BARBER LANE MS: D-106 LEGAL			NGUYEN, HAU H		
MILPITAS,	MILPITAS, CA 95035			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2676	3
			DATE MAILED: 09/23/2003	_	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Kn

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/960,771	PETHER, DAVID N.	
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Hau H Nguyen	2676	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
THE - External control	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABANI	y be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 S	September 2001 .		
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under tion of Claims			
-	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application			
بعار.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Applicat	tion Papers			
	The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11)[_]	The proposed drawing correction filed on	. ,— ,—	pproved by the Examiner.	
40)	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•		
-	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
_	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	All b) Some * c) None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents			
* (3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the action for a list of t	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-	
14) 🗌 /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestion	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(e) (to a provisional application).	
	a)	• •		
Attachmen	nt(s)			
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u>	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2676

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claim 6 recites the limitations "... perform conversion of one or more video data formats to graphics data," which is not found in the specification. In the specification, Applicant discloses the data stream can be in graphics or video data formats (page 6). No description of the conversion from video data formats to graphics data is found.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2676

4. Claims 1-3, 9-11, 13, and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Zhang et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,181,711).

Referring to claims 1-3, and 13, as shown in Fig. 4, Zhang et al. teach a method for transporting a compressed video and data bit stream comprising a plurality of modification circuits 404-408 coupled to received video streams parsed from a decoder and extractor 402 (col. 10, lines 20-24, and lines). The output of each of the modifications units 404, 406, 408 is coupled to the respective input of the encoder 410. The encoder 410 preferably performs variable length coding of all the bits, and then outputs the encoded stream on line 426 (a composite circuit).

Referring to claims 9-10, and 18 Zhang et al. also teach the modification circuits 514-518 performing scaling and filtering (col. 12, lines 16-64).

In regard to claims 11 and 19, Zhang et al. teach the outputs of the encoders 520, 522, 524 (Fig. 5) are combined by stream bit multiplexer 526 (col. 13, lines 1-5) (bitwise logical operations).

5. Claims 1, 4-5, 12-15, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Porter (U.S. Patent No. 6,208,354).

Referring to claims 1, 4-5, 12-15, and 20, Porter teaches a method and apparatus for storing and displaying multiple graphical images in a mixed video and graphics display, wherein as shown in Fig. 1, a display overlay engine 30 is coupled to receive video data stream 16 and graphics data streams 28 to produce display out signal 35. As shown in Fig. 2, at step 120, Porter teaches the selected graphics image is blended with a portion of an additional display data stream to produce a portion of the display output stream. Thus in a system where the additional display data stream is a video data stream, the selected graphics image will be blended with the

Art Unit: 2676

incoming video stream to produce the display output stream. The blending operation may include an alpha blending operation as described above with respect to FIG. 1. The blending of the selected graphics image with the additional display data stream causes the two streams to be merged to produce the display output signal (col. 7, lines 6-18).

6. Claims 1, 7-8, 13, 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Moreton et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,835,729).

Referring to claims 1, 7-8, 13, 16-17, Moreton et al. teach a method an arrangement to separate the interleaved color space components in one data stream with minimum CPU intervention, and an arrangement to interleave separate color space components data with minimum CPU intervention (col. 2, lines 20-26). As shown in Fig. 4, Moreton et al. teach data signal DIN(63:00) is held constant for one clock cycle in order to separate the interleaved luminance and chrominance component data of DIN(63:00) input signals into separate streams (col. 5, lines 42-45), and combined again to produce output stream Dout (63:00).

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hau H. Nguyen whose telephone number is: 703-305-4104. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-FRI from 8:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Bella can be reached on 703-308-6829.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Art Unit: 2676

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D. C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered response should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

H. Nguyen

09/12/2003

MATTHEW C. BELLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Marker (. Bella